

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/807,460		03/22/2004	Harriet Kirkpatrick	047144/275346	2926
826	7590	07/29/2005		EXAM	INER
ALSTON &	& BIRD	LLP	PALO, FRANCIS T		
BANK OF AMERICA PLAZA 101 SOUTH TRYON STREET, SUITE 4000				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		28280-4000	3644		
				DATE MAIL ED. 02/20/200	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/807,460	KIRKPATRICK, HARRIET					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Francis T. Palo	3644					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 M	arch 2004.						
	action is non-final.						
,— .,	—						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-39 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-39 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:						

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required

under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 34-37, drawn to a method of preventing users from tripping over a tent stake at an event, classified in class 47, subclass 66.7.
- II. Claims 1-33 and 38-39, drawn to a planter, classified in class 47, subclass66.3.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as product and process of use.

The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)).

In the instant case the process for using the product can be practiced with another materially different product, specifically, hay bales.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Invention-II:

Species-I: A Modular Planter Kit comprising three panels and fasteners; as represented by claims 1-22 (and further comprising sub-species).

Species-II: A Modular Planter comprising a plurality of panels and fasteners for selectively assembling and disassembling a barrier by hand without the use of tools; as represented by claims 23-33.

Species-III: A Modular Planter Kit comprising four panels and fasteners;

As represented by claims 38-39.

Application/Control Number: 10/807,460

Art Unit: 3644

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Currently, no claim(s) appear to be generic.

If Applicant elects Invention-I (Method) no further election of species is required.

If Applicant elects Invention-II (Planters) one of the three Species outlined above is required for further election (and one of the listed sub-species under Species-I or Species-II is further required to be elected, as outlined below).

Species-I: Further election of one of the following species is required if Species-I is elected;

Species-la: as represented by claims 1 and 9-13.

Species-lb: as represented by claims 1, 9 and 14.

Species-Ic: as represented by claims 1 and 5-7.

Species-Id; as represented by claims 1, 5 and 8.

Species-le: as represented by claims 1 and 15-16.

Species-If: as represented by claims 1 and 17-18.

Species-Ig: as represented by claims 1 and 21-22.

Species-Ih: as represented by claims 1-5, 9, 15, 17 and 19-21.

Species-II: Further election of one of the following species is required if

Species-II is elected;

Species-IIa: as represented by claims 23 and 25-27.

Species-IIb: as represented by claims 23 and 31-32.

Species-lic: as represented by claims 23-25, 28-31 and 33.

For example:

Applicant elects Invention-II (Planters; Species I-III, claims 1-33, and 38-39).

Applicant further elects **Species-I** (comprising Species Ia-Ih, claims 1-22).

Applicant further elects **Species-Ih** (claims 1-5, 15, 17 and 19-21).

If claim-1 is allowed (or rewritten to include an allowable dependent claim) all its dependent claims are recaptured and passed to issue, with Species-II and Species-III relegated to Continuation Applications for further prosecution before the Patent Office.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added.

An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141.

If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case.

In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Francis T. Palo whose telephone number is 571-272-6907. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Tu.,Th.-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Teri Luu can be reached on 571-272-7045. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Francis T. Palo Examiner

Francis T. Palo

Art Unit 3644